



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/850,149	05/08/2001	Clifton A. Rau	CARAU001	3016
7590 03/31/2006 CLIFTON A. RAU			EXAMINER	
PO Box 128	RAU.			
QUINCY, PA	17247		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief 09/850 (37 CFR 41.37)

Applicant(s)	
RAU, CLIFTON A.	
Art Unit	
2128	

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>27 December 2005</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. **EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

EXTE	NSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.
1. 🔲	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. 🛚	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))
6. 🗌	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. 🗌	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8.	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. 🔲	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10.🛛	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

There is no mention, anywhere in the brief, of any part of the specification. In the interests of compact prosecution, the following is noted. The amendment of 10/18/2001 (after the filing date of 5/8/2001) added new matter to the specification (four files totaling 41 kB were incorporated by reference, whereas, prior to the amendment, there was only one incorporated file, totaling 35 kB. Thus, reliance on this part of the specification for a showing of support for the claimed invention in the Summary of the Invention is not possible. Also, there appears to be a few typographical errors on page 9 of the brief in the Conclusion regarding the number of rejected claims [it should be claims 1-11, 13-15, 18-20] and whether the rejection is a 102(b) or 102(e) [it should be the latter].

PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

⁻⁻The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--